

## UNITED STATE DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO	). FILING DATE	TE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		A	TTORNEY DOCKET NO.
087337.7	894 - 04 <b>/25/9</b> 6	s TASH		G	P-2127-40
- 			, ¬	EXAMINER	
F1M1/0820 JOHN J DOSTA JR			,	FETSUGA.R	
SUITE 403				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
5880 CANGGA AVENUE Woodland Hills <b>CA 91367</b>			·	3105	7
				DATE MAILED:	08/20/97

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 



## Office Action Summary

Application No. **08/637,894** 

Robert M. Fetsuga

Applicant(s)

Examiner

Group Art Unit

3105

Tash



Responsive to communication(s) filed on Jun 23, 1997	
X This action is <b>FINAL</b> .	
<ul> <li>Since this application is in condition for allowance except for for in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C</li> </ul>	•
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to exist longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensions 37 CFR 1.136(a).	respond within the period for response will cause the
Disposition of Claims	
X Claim(s) 1-4 and 6-12	is/are pending in the application.
Of the above, claim(s) none	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
☐ Claim(s)	
X Claim(s) 1-4 and 6-12	
☐ Claim(s)	
Claims	
Application Papers	
See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing R	Review, PTO-948.
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected	
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority und	der 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).
☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the	ne priority documents have been
☐ received.	
☐ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number	er)
$\square$ received in this national stage application from the Int	ernational Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
*Certified copies not received:	
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority u	under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).
Attachment(s)	
☐ Notice of References Cited, PTO-892	
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s	)
<ul><li>☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413</li><li>☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948</li></ul>	
☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152	
SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE	FOLLOWING PAGES



Art Unit: 3105

1. The status of the parent application(s) has been updated.

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. § 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-3, 10 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cheiten in view of Gross.

The Cheiten reference discloses a plunger comprising: a handle 20; and a pleated bellows 12,14,15. Therefore, Cheiten teaches all claimed elements except for the provision of rings.

Although the guide portion (28) of the Cheiten plunger does not include rings, as claimed, attention is directed to the Gross reference which discloses an analogous plunger which further includes a guide portion 32 having upper 36, second 37 and bottom 38 rings. Therefore, in consideration of Gross, it would have



Art Unit: 3105

been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to associate rings with the Cheiten plunger in order to facilitate drain engagement.

4. Claims 1-4 and 10-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cheiten and Gross as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Tash.

Re claims 1 and 10, Tash teaches a plunger having pleated bellows more like that disclosed by applicant. Re claims 4 and 12, Tash further teaches constructing a plunger handle of plastic.

5. Claims 6-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cheiten, Gross and Tash as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Lacey et al.

Although the guide portion of the Cheiten plunger (gross, at 34) is not vertical, as claimed, attention is directed to the Lacey et al. (Lacey) reference which discloses an analogous plunger which further includes a vertical guide portion 23. Therefore, in consideration of Lacey, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to associate a vertical guide portion with the Cheiten plunger in order to facilitate drain engagement. Re claim 7, the choice of ring size would appear an obvious choice to be made depending on desired drain engagement. Re claim 9, the rings of the Gross plunger are flexible to



Serial Number: 08/637,894

Art Unit: 3105

facilitate universal drain engagement. To construct the rings to be less flexible would have been obvious in order to facilitate drain engagement with a particular type of drain.

- 6. Applicant argues at pages 3-7 of the response that Gross does not teach three seals which are equivalent to the claimed rings. However, Gross does teach three rings which are equivalent to the claimed rings.
- 7. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for response to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the date of this action. In the event a first response is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event will the statutory period for response expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.





Robert hr.

Serial Number: 08/637,894 Page 5

Art Unit: 3105

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed 8. to Robert M. Fetsuga at telephone number (703) 308-1506.

> ROBERT M. FETSUGA PRIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 3105

rmf August 18, 1997